



It Should Be Called Lie Rail

Even the Name Is a Lie



Heavy-Rail Car

Light-Rail Car

Lie #1: "Light Rail Is High-Capacity Transit"

129













Lie #2: "VA Beach Needs High-Capacity Transit"



Downtown Jobs and Transit Commuters



Metro-Area Transit Share vs. CBD Jobs



Source: 2006 American Community Survey

Metro-Area Transit Share vs. CBD Jobs



Metro-Area Transit Share vs. CBD Jobs



2012 Average Bus Occupancy Rates



Hampton DenverAmes,NewLosHonoluluRoadsIAYorkAngelesSource: National Transit Database

2013 Virginia Beach-Norfolk Workers: Number of Vehicles in Household



Source: 2013 American Community Survey

How Virginia Beach-Norfolk Workers With No Vehicles Commute to Work



Source: 2013 American Community Survey

Transit Commuting by Income



Lie #3: "It Will 'Only' Cost \$327 Million"



PARSONS BRINCKERHOFF



SIEMENS

2010

National State of Good Repair Assessment



Federal Transit Administration

Federal Transit Administration June 2010

Formula Funds

DE70110480 A

TOR

:5

DE 70110480 P

Competitive Grants



Average Light-Rail Cost Per Mile



Lie #4: "Light Rail Attracts New Riders" 2012 Average Light Rail Occupancy Rates



TideClevelandTwinDallasHoustonCharlotteCitiesSource: National Transit Database





Routes Services Fares Customer Service News Room Public Records

Hampton Roads Transit > Articles > Norfolk's light rail exceeds expectations

Norfolk's light rail exceeds expectations

Nearly four weeks into the Tide's tenure in Norfolk, the light rail has been a major success.

Ridership is actually exceeding expectations with an average of more than 5,600 people per day.

"I love riding the tide. I think it's very convenient as far as transportation and it saves me on gas since I'm college student," said one of the passengers.

The reality of the light rail ridership has nearly doubled what was expected.

Norfolk LRT

Norfolk, Virginia (November 2003)

Description

Hampton Roads Transit (HRT) proposes to construct a 7.4-mile light rail transit (LRT) line in the city of Norfolk that is intended to serve as the initial segment of a regional LRT system. The project alignment begins on the west at the Eastern Virginia Medical Center, moves eastward in dedicated LRT in-street right-of-way through downtown Norfolk to Norfolk State University, and continues along an existing Norfolk Southern Railroad right-of-way generally paralleling I-264 to the eastern terminus at Newtown Road. The project includes 11 stations and construction of a new vehicle maintenance and storage facility.

Right-of-way and geographical constraints limit fixed guideway alternatives that could be developed in the most heavily traveled corridor in the Hampton Roads region, which continues through Virginia Beach to the Atlantic Ocean. The Norfolk Southern Railroad alignment offers the only feasible non-roadway alternative to improve mobility and capacity in the corridor due to the intricacy of the regional transportation system that includes waterway traversals. The proposed Norfolk LRT is intended to: improve mobility, access, and transit service reliability for area commuters; provide intermodal connections between automobiles, buses and ferry services; expand and improve transportation choices for corridor residents; improve reverse commute options; enhance alternative transportation services for low-income households; and support redevelopment and revitalization efforts and the growth of tourism in Norfolk.

Summary Description

Summary Description	
Proposed Project:	Light Rail Transit
	7.4 Miles, 11 Stations
Total Capital Cost (\$YOE):	\$198.5 Million
Section 5309 New Starts Share (\$YOE):	\$94.6 Million (48%)
Annual Operating Cost (2021 \$YOE):	\$9.2 Million
Ridership Forecast (2021):	10,500 Average Weekday Boardings
	1.000 5
Opening Year Ridership Forecast (20 🕠	10,400 Average Weekday Boardings
FY 2005 Finance Rating:	
FY 2005 Project Justification Rating:	Not Rated
FY 2005 Overall Project Rating:	Not Rated

Exceeds Expectations?



Source: 2005 New Starts Report, 2012 National Transit Database

Lie #5: "Light Rail Will Reduce Congestion"



No Build

Purple Line Source: Purple Line DEIS

320,000 Hours Wasted Per Year



Source: Red Line DEIS




Lie #6: "Light Rail Will Save Energy" 5,500 5,000 **BTUs Per Passenger Mile** 4,500 4,000 3,500 3,000 2,500 2,000 1,500 1,000 **500** 0

TideLight TrucksHamptonCarsPriusLight Rail& SUVsRoadsBusSource: National Transit Database, Transportation EnergyData Book

Greenhouse Gas Emissions in 2012



TideLight TrucksHamptonCarsLight Rail& SUVsRoadsBus

Source: National Transit Database, Transportation Energy Data Book





Austin Transit Energy Efficiency Before and After Rail



2009 Bus

2012 Bus + Rail

Source: National Transit Database

Houston Transit Energy Efficiency Before and After Rail



Source: National Transit Database







"We have not seen any of the kind of development—of a mid-rise, higher-density, mixed-use, mixed-income type—that we would've liked to have seen" along the MAX line.

-Mike Saba, Portland City Planner, 1996

"We are in the hottest real estate market in the country," yet "most of those sites [along the light-rail line] are still vacant." -Charles Hales, City Commissioner, 1996





Transit Spending and Urban Growth



Source: National Transit Database, Census a

Lie #8: "Light Rail Is Good for Transit Riders"

NO TURN ON RED



Portland-Area Transit Commuters



2013 Source: Census Bureau





Portland-Area Transit Commuters



2013 Source: Census Bureau

How New Portland Workers Commute





Bay Area Rail Transit





Population Densities



SF-Oakland-San Jose Transit Use



Per Capita Driving





SINDICATO DE PASAJEROS BUS RIDERS UNDN

Lie #10: Light Rail Is Cost-Effective

"(A) IN GENERAL.—A new fixed guideway capital project may advance to the engineering phase upon completion of activities required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), as demonstrated by a record of decision with respect to the project, a finding that the project has no significant impact, or a determination that the project is categorically excluded, only if the Secretary determines that the project—

"(iii) is justified based on a comprehensive review of the project's mobility improvements, the project's environmental benefits, congestion relief associated with the project, economic development effects associated with the project, policies and land use patterns of the project that support public transportation, and the project's cost-effectiveness as measured by cost per rider;







Source: Census Bureau










Lie #10: "Light Rail Is Modern Transportation"

E

HEALTH

FOODS

TELEVISION



capacities 2. Universal

mobility 3. Increased

speeds 4. Safety









"Paint Is Cheaper Than Rails" Why Congress Should Abolish New Starts

by Randal O'Toole

Executive Summary

The New Starts program has proven a failure and gives transit agencies incentives to build overly costly systems. Congress created the program in 1991, directing the Federal Transit Administration to ensure each grant be "justified based on a comprehensive review of its mobility improvements, environmental benefits, cost effectiveness, and operating efficiencies." In 2012, Congress added "congestion relief" and "economic development effects" to this list

more air pollution than the cars they take off the road. Other plans do not account for increasing automobile energy efficiencies or the effects of congestion on energy consumption and air pollution.

• The Bush administration attempted to use the cost-effectiveness requirement to place an upper limit on project costs, but the transit lobby has persuaded the Obama administration and Congress to effectively

For more information: rot@cato.org cato.org ti.org/antiplanner americandreamcoalition.org Give me your email address to be added to my newsletter list