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This document is intended to provide the procedures and steps used in the development of the FHWA Highway Statistics VM-1 publication. The document has 4 components as defined below.

Component 1: Approaches to Compute National VMT by Roadway Functional Class Group and Vehicle Types

Component 2: Reconciling National Vehicle Registration Data Based on Axle Spacing and Tire Arrangements

Component 3: Vehicle Occupancy Factor Computation

Component 4: Fuel Efficiency Modeling

## Component 1

## Approaches to Compute National VMT by Roadway Functional

 Class Groups and Vehicle Types
## Background

Prior to the 2009 Highway Statistics, vehicle miles traveled (VMT) related data elements in table VM-1 were based on a modeling procedure with initial inputs from the Highway Performance and Monitoring System (HPMS) data and constrictions established from the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS) data. It has been noticed that VMT by vehicle type and roadway functional class data under this historical procedure were drifting away from what is being reported through the HPMS system. When the original historical modeling method was developed (early 1990s), the modeling logic was necessary due to potential field traffic data quality issues and the availability of the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS) data. However, with the advancement in traffic data collection instruments, implementation of institutionalized processes and procedures by State highway agencies in data collection, practical experience gained in traffic data collection, and the discontinuation of the VIUS (the last one was carried out in 2002), the original modeling method is deemed no longer appropriate. In addition, to reflect rapid changes in economic conditions, and goods movement and passenger travel pattern changes, the reported data from State highway agencies without being further modeled will be more logical and timely.

The proposed new procedure retires the original methodology (used for 2008 and prior years) and applies to all post-2008 VM-1s.

## New Method

## Step 1

Obtain both VM-4 and VM-2 data from the HPMS system (sample attached). These data should have already passed the HPMS's data quality review.

## Step 2

A: Conduct independent data quality review on both datasets in areas of growth rate and percent (\%) changes from past years by using growth trend data from both the HPMS and the Travel Monitoring and Analysis System (TMAS system). $5 \%$ or higher changes from past year shall serve as an indicator that more in-depth analysis shall be conducted to determine data quality concerns.

B: Both roadway centerline and lane lengths by functional classes shall be reviewed, compared, and contrasted with the VMT data at the State level geography. If issues are identified, inquiries to responsible State highway agencies shall be made in coordination through the HPMS division.

C: Attempts must be made to secure missing values from State highway agencies first. When such an attempt is determined to be not feasible for timeliness, a simple arithmetic average for the parameter from neighboring Counties or States can be used in place of the missing value. However, the actual value shall be obtained from State highway agencies within 6 months from issue discovery and appropriate modification shall be made to any published data accordingly to data release schedules.

## Step 3

For a given State, once the VM-4 and VM-2 data have passed the data quality check, the VM-2 data can be split further by multiplying all corresponding cells from the corresponding VM-4.

Final VMT by the five roadway functional classes (rural interstate, other rural arterial, other rural, urban interstate and other urban) and six vehicle classes (light-duty vehicle - Short Wheelbase, motorcycles, buses, light-duty vehicle Long Wheelbase, single unit truck, and combination truck) can be computed by simply aggregating the multiplication results.

## Step 4

Once data from all States and the District of Columbia are processed through Step 3, a simple addition of all corresponding VMT categories for all States will deliver the national VMT by roadway functional class and vehicle types

## Step 5

Before publishing the VM-1 VMT data, coordinate with the HPMS division ensuring VM-1 is consistent with VM-1 and VM-3.
Sample VM-2 Data Table from HPMS

Sample VM-4 Data

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE | \|NTERSTATE SYSTEM |  |  |  |  |  |  | OTHER ARTERALS |  |  |  |  |  |  | OTHER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Motor- | PASSENGER | LGнt |  | SINGEE.UNTT | consmation |  | MOTOR. | ASSEV源 | LGHT |  | SIISLE:UNIT | conemation |  | MOTOR. | PASSENGER | 46 |  | SIISLEEUNIT | comenation |  |
|  | Croles | Cars | trucks | buses | trucks | trucks | total | crales | cars | trucks | buses | trucks | trucks | total | Crales | cars | trucks | euses | trucks | trucks | тотN |
| ${ }^{\text {Alabamm }}$ Al | ${ }^{0.6}$ | ${ }_{56,3}^{581}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -0, 0 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | \%, | $\begin{gathered} { }^{28} 28 \\ 330 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1009 \\ & +100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - 30 | $\begin{aligned} & 55.25 \\ & 550.0 \\ & 570 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.97 \\ & \begin{array}{c} 807 \\ 200 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10000 \\ & 1000 \\ & t \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.101 \\ & 580 \mid \\ & 5880 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 322329 \\ & \left.\begin{array}{l} 330 \end{array}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 | (1000 |
| Cantoris | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{4}^{42}$ | \% ${ }_{\substack{50 \\ \hline 80}}$ |  | ${ }_{20}^{22}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% | ${ }_{73,1}^{700}$ | 190 145 | - | 20, | 88.4 | 1000 1008 | 10 10 | ${ }_{77.0}^{67}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & 20.1 \\ & 20.1 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 3.20 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $100$ | 20 1.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 510 \\ & 748 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ 200 \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ | 10 0.0 | 40 <br> 20 | 4.8 <br> 0.8 | 1010 1000 |
| Deluare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fione |  |  |  |  | 4.1 | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 |  | 0.7 | ${ }^{60,8}$ | 28. |  | ${ }^{4.5}$ | 55 | 1000 |
| $cSeargia Hawei$ | O. 0.5 | $\xrightarrow{96.1}$ | 167 | 07 | 30 26 | 10.1 |  | 0.5 0.8 | ${ }_{623}^{67.1}$ | 225 | ${ }_{0.5}^{0.5}$ | ${ }_{22}^{40}$ | 5, ${ }_{1}$ | 9909 | 90, | ${ }^{689}$ | $\underset{250}{23}$ | ${ }_{0.3}^{0.5}$ | 4, ${ }_{1.4}$ |  | 1001 <br> 1000 |
| Itato | ${ }_{0}^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{33,5}$ | ${ }^{28,}$ |  |  | 29.5 | ${ }^{1000}$ |  | 45.3 | 402 | ${ }^{0.4}$ | ${ }^{72}$ | 8 | 1009 | ${ }^{0.9}$ |  | 410 | 0 | ${ }^{6} 70$ |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | ${ }_{496}^{617}$ | ${ }^{8.5}$ | ${ }_{10}^{10}$ | (3, | ${ }_{30,5}^{24}$ | ${ }_{1000}^{1008}$ | ${ }_{0.8}^{0.7}$ | ${ }_{59,4}^{79.4}$ | ${ }^{727}$ | ${ }^{0.6}$ | ${ }_{4.2}^{3.8}$ | ${ }_{12,5}^{7.9}$ | ${ }_{100.0}^{100.0}$ | ${ }_{10}^{0.9}$ | ${ }_{658}^{828}$ | [528 | 1.0 <br> 0.4 | 退, |  | (1000 |
| lowe | 0.5 | 494 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kaneas |  | ${ }_{5}^{500}$ | 19.0 | 0 | ${ }^{30}$ | 22. | 1008 |  |  | ${ }^{250}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 8.0 \\ & 80 \end{aligned}$ | 21.5 | 1008 | 1.1 | ${ }_{542}^{64,2}$ | ${ }_{225}^{212}$ | 0.9 | $\begin{gathered} 5.6 \\ 7.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.0 \\ 10.0 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{10000}^{1000}$ | 1.0 |  | ${ }_{28,}^{23,}$ | -9 | 5.4 68 | ${ }_{67}^{3,3}$ | 1999 |
| Mane | ${ }_{0} 0$ | ${ }_{66,1} 5$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\stackrel{0}{1,7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0.7}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{3,}$ |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{04}$ |  | ${ }_{123}^{223}$ | ${ }^{0.8}$ | ${ }_{3}^{59}$ |  |  |
| Mixitign | 0 | ${ }_{688} 68$ | ${ }^{18.8}$ | 0 | ${ }^{125}$ | 10.8 | 1009 | 1.1 | 659, | ${ }^{23.1}$ | 0.3 | ${ }_{25}^{25}$ | 7. | 1000 | 1.6 | ${ }_{68} 68$ | 258 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 24 | 1000 |
| Mesestipod | ${ }_{0} 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.4 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{264}$ | ${ }^{06}$ |  |  |  |
| Misooni |  | 527 | 156 | 07 |  | 271 | 1000 | 0.5 | 800 | 242 | 0.5 | 44 | 10.4 | 1000 | 03 |  | 286 | ${ }_{0} 3$ | ${ }^{46}$ | 61 | 1000 |
|  | 0.6 |  |  |  | ${ }_{22}^{29}$ | ${ }^{18,}$ |  | 1.6 <br> 0.6 |  | (30.1 | 0.6 0.1 | 3.2 <br> 29 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| Nevada | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.4 |  | ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Jesee | 1.1 0.1 | ${ }_{722}^{60}$ | ${ }_{16,2}^{310}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.4}$ | 4, | 8.1 | ${ }_{1000}^{100}$ | ${ }_{02}^{20}$ | ${ }_{79}^{68,6}$ | ${ }_{1}^{21.0}$ | ${ }_{0.3}^{20,}$ | ${ }_{26}^{50}$ | ${ }_{24}^{30}$ | ${ }^{100.0} 10$ | 20 <br> 0.3 | ${ }_{697}^{660}$ | ${ }_{25,3}^{23 .}$ | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{50}$ |  | 1000 |
| New Mexco | 60 |  |  |  |  | 24.3 |  |  |  | ${ }^{286}$ |  | 126 |  |  |  | 543 |  | 1.5 | 8.4 |  |  |
| NewYoki |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{10}^{0.7}$ |  | ${ }_{180}^{203}$ |  | 29 50 | ${ }_{80}^{50}$ |  | ${ }^{0.8}$ | cioc |  |  | ${ }^{36}$ |  | $\underset{1000}{1000}$ |
| Natrin Dakta | 3. | ${ }_{5}^{457}$ | ${ }_{12,4}$ |  | ${ }^{6.8}$ | 17.1 | 1009 | ${ }^{19}$ | 40.5 | ${ }^{31,6}$ | 1.4 | ${ }_{6}^{6.8}$ | 14.1 |  |  | ${ }_{23}^{44}$ | 37.3 | 0.9 | ${ }^{67}$ | 8.1 | 1000 |
| Oremoma 3 | 0 | 440 | 20. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{320}$ | 1.0 | ${ }^{8.0}$ | ${ }^{80}$ |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Preaban }}$ | ${ }_{1,5}^{0.5}$ | ${ }_{481}^{459}$ | (124 | -0. | -3.75 | ${ }_{20}^{25,5}$ | 1000 1000 | 1.0 0.9 | ${ }_{5}^{51,4}$ | 180 ${ }_{18}^{320}$ | -08 | 8.8 18.6 | 80. | $10.0 \mid$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.9}$ | ${ }_{48,}^{507}$ |  | 0.0 | ${ }_{17,1}^{7,1}$ | 5, ${ }_{5}^{28}$ | $\begin{array}{r}1000 \\ 1001 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Rnodel lsand | , | 60.4 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sout canire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{20}^{0.6}$ |  |  | 0, 0 |  |  |  |
| Temesse | 1.12 | S50, | 16.2 |  | 3.7 | ${ }_{20,4}^{20.4}$ | ${ }^{1009}$ | ${ }^{1.6}$ | ${ }_{650}^{640}$ | ${ }^{22,3}$ | 0.1 | 2.9 | 5.15 | 1000 | 0.4 | ${ }_{20}^{721}$ | 232 | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{223}$ | ${ }_{0} 0$ | ${ }_{12,3}$ | 15.4 |  | 0.6 | ${ }_{50,1}$ |  | 1.2 | 14.0 |  |  |
| vemont | 0.8 | ${ }^{683}$ | 15.3 | 1.4 | 4. | 98 | 1009 | 1.7 | 68.4 | ${ }^{222}$ | 1.0 | 5.2 | 3.5 | 1000 | 1.4 | ${ }_{66}^{66}$ | 25. | 0.7 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 100. |
| (ligna | ${ }_{0.3}^{0.3}$ | - | ${ }_{24,}^{15.4}$ | 0, | $1{ }_{5}^{1.6}$ | ${ }_{125}^{7,0}$ | ${ }_{1000}^{1000}$ | 0.5 0.5 | ${ }_{5}^{20,9}$ | 22, | ${ }_{0.3}^{0.6}$ | ${ }_{7}^{1,8}$ | (5, | $\underset{\substack{1000 \\ 1000}}{ }$ | ${ }_{1.6}^{0.6}$ | ${ }_{567}$ | ${ }_{31.2}^{24 .}$ | 0 | \% ${ }_{7}^{21}$ | ${ }_{23}^{1.7}$ |  |
| Weetivinia | ${ }_{0}^{0.3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{640}^{663}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{46}$ |  |  |
| Whaning | 0.3 <br> 0.5 | ${ }_{796}^{334}$ | - 80 | ${ }^{0.5}$ | 19818 | [32 | 1000 | 0.4 |  | ${ }_{426}^{206}$ | . 0.5 | ${ }_{4}^{23}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8.7}$ | 1000 | 0.5 |  | 50, | ${ }_{0}^{0.5}$ | ${ }^{5,1}$ | 82 | 1000 |
| Peeto Rico |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Component 2

## Reconciling National Vehicle Registration Data Based on Axle Spacing and Tire Arrangements

## Background

HPMS VMT by vehicle type data collected by State highway agencies are based on FHWA's 13 vehicle classification system (axle spacing, tire arrangement, and the number of axles criteria). However, vehicle registration data with State motor vehicle departments vary pending State registration laws and regulations. For similar vehicles, different State motor vehicle departments may register them under different vehicle types. Prior to 2009, the reconciliation of state vehicle registration data with the FHWA's classification was primarily based on the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey (VIUS) data. However, the discontinuation of the VIUS after the 2002 edition hampered the continued use of such information.

The Policy Information Office has adopted the IHS Polk Vehicle Registration data to develop converting factors in place of the historical VIUS data. The IHS Polk data offers both the wheel-based specification (used for FHWA's 13 vehicle classification) and body type information.

## Method

The IHS Polk method utilizes the Polk Vehicle Registration's axle spacing (wheelbase), body type, and gross vehicle weight rating (GVWR) data to establish vehicle split percentage data for Light-Duty Vehicle - Short Wheelbase, Light-Duty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase, Single Unit Truck and Combination Truck. This Polk derived percentage data are then applied to State supplied registered vehicle data to obtain the final counts of each of the four vehicle types.

## Step 1

Obtain numbers of vehicles for both the "Light-duty Vehicles Short Wheelbase" and the "Light-duty Vehicles - Long Wheelbase" vehicles from the Polk Car (both Domestic and Import) Database
"Light-duty Vehicles - Short Wheelbase" are defined as all light-duty vehicles with a wheelbase (axle spacing) less than or equal to 121 inches; The "LightDuty Vehicles - Long Wheelbase" vehicles are defined as all light-duty vehicles having an axle spacing greater than 121 inches.

Step 2

Obtain numbers of vehicles for both the "Light-duty Vehicles Short Wheelbase" and the "Light-duty Vehicles - Long Wheelbase" vehicles from the Polk Light Truck Database

The Light Truck Database includes vehicles with GVWR up to 13,000 lbs. It covers body types ranging from the pickup, van, sport utility vehicle (SUV), to other light-duty commercial vehicles.

Vehicles contained in the Polk Light Truck Database with a wheel base less than or equal to 121 inches are all counted as "Light-duty Vehicles - Short Wheelbase;" Vehicles with a wheelbase greater than 121 inches are counted as "Light-duty Vehicles - long wheel" vehicles.

## Step 3

## Obtain "Single Unit Truck" and "Combination Truck" Counts from the Polk Heavy Truck Database

Polk's Heavy Truck Database contains trucks with GVWR greater than 10,000 lbs. It is further divided into subgroups based on both body type and GVWR information (see Table below for example). The subgroup "Class 3" vehicle in the database overlaps with the Light Truck "Class 3". Consequently "Class 3" in the Light Truck database is removed from being considered as light trucks.

Combination trucks are these registered as "Tractors" and the remaining ones are considered as "Single Unit Trucks."

## Step 4

Compute the Percentage Split Data among "Light-Duty Vehicles Short Wheelbase", "Light-Duty Vehicles - Long Wheelbase", "Single Unit Truck" and "Combination Truck"

Sum up all vehicle counts data obtained from Steps 1, 2 and 3; and compute percentages of each vehicle types accordingly.

## Step 5

## Obtain Bus and Motorcycle Data

Bus and motorcycle data are obtained directly from MV-1.

## Step 6

## Obtain Final Vehicle Counts Data for All Six Vehicle

## Types

Use Bus and Motorcycle data directly from Step 5
Multiply the percentage data obtained in Step 4 with the difference between MV-1 total and motorcycle and bus combined to obtain final counts for the remaining four types of vehicles.

## End of Component 2

## Component 3

## Vehicle Occupancy Factor Computation

## Background

Vehicle occupancy factors (OF) are used to convert vehicle miles traveled (VMT) to person miles traveled (PMT) through a simple equation of VMT $=$ Of $\times$ VMT. The steps described below enable the computation of vehicle occupancy factors needed for the FHWA Office of Highway Policy Information Highway Statistics Series Annual VM-1 PMT production. The procedure described here is applicable to post 2008 FHWA Highway Statistics (HS) VM-1s.

Vehicle occupancy factors used for the single unit truck and combination truck are 1.000. The bus uses an occupancy factor of 21.200 .

Occupancy factors for passenger vehicle-short wheelbase, passenger vehicle-long wheelbase, and motorcycle rely on information derived from the National Household Travel Survey (NHTS). Since the NHTS data is based on vehicle body types ((Car, Van, Sport Utility Vehicle (SUV), Pickup, Other (other truck and Recreational Vehicle (RV)) vs. the axle arrangement criteria used in VM-1, conversions are needed to transform the NHTS information to a VM-1 compatible form.

For motorcycle occupancy factor, VM-1 uses the information directly from the NHTS without further adjustment given the axle arrangement and body type matches.

Occupancy factors for VM-1's passenger vehicle-short wheelbase and passenger vehicle-long wheelbase vehicles are obtained by splitting each of the NHTS Car, Van, SUV, Pickup, Other (other truck and RV) vehicle type into long wheelbase and short wheelbase by using the IHS Polk vehicle registration data for the data year.

The overall underlying principles are: (a) the latest NHTS vehicle occupancy factors by vehicle types remain constant - meaning the travel behavior per vehicle type does not change, and (b) fleet composition (short and long wheelbase \%), as revealed by Polk data, changes as time changes.

## Computation Steps (Using the 2009 NHTS and 2009 HIS Polk to Illustrate the Technical Steps)

Step 1: Calculate baseline vehicle occupancy factors by vehicle type from the 2009 NHTS

Table 1. Baseline Occupancy Factors Calculated Directly from the 2009 NHTS.

| Vehicle Type | PMT | VMT | VOF (Vehicle Occupancy Factor) |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Car | $1,828,613,444,953$ | $1,182,999,145,905$ | 1.546 |
| OTH | $46,927,873,966$ | $38,541,943,102$ | 1.218 |
| PCP | $511,775,053,212$ | $344,427,266,543$ | 1.486 |
| SUV | $886,541,396,186$ | $467,216,433,196$ | 1.897 |
| Van | $472,120,490,878$ | $200,498,165,969$ | 2.355 |

The results in Table 1 match the NHTS vehicle occupancy publication at: https://nhts.ornl.gov/tables09/fatcat/2009/avo_TRPTRANS_WHYTRP1S.html

Step 2: Compute Short WB and Long WB percentages for each vehicle type categorized in Step 1 (Motorcycle excluded)

In this step, registered vehicles in IHS Polk data are divided into Long WB and Short WB for each of the 5 vehicle groups per the 2009 NHTS vehicle types (Car, Van, SUV, Pickup, Other (other truck and RV)). Vehicle types are determined first by the variable "Body_Style". If this variable cannot clarify, then variables "Make" and "Model" are further checked with the help of Google search (images).

To control the IHS Polk data quality, entries where "wheelbase" is missing or unknown, or "Body Style" is missing or unknown are excluded.

The results of this step (Using the IHS 2009 Polk Vehicle Registration Data as an example) are listed in Table 3 below.

Table 2. Percentage of Short WB and Long WB Vehicles from Polk Data (2009).

|  | Polk Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | Short WB | Long WB | Total | \% Short | \% Long | Total |  |
| Car | 120676503 | 996111 | 121672614 | $99.18 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | 1 |  |
| OTH | 76704 | 386626 | 463330 | $16.55 \%$ | $83.45 \%$ | 1 |  |
| PCP | 7940287 | 41452109 | 49392396 | $16.08 \%$ | $83.92 \%$ | 1 |  |
| SUV | 47774099 | 4696709 | 52470808 | $91.05 \%$ | $8.95 \%$ | 1 |  |
| Van | 16338392 | 5032936 | 21371328 | $76.45 \%$ | $23.55 \%$ | 1 |  |

## Step 3: Use the Short WB and Long WB percentages in Step 2 to split NHTS-based PMT and VMT

Table 3. Split NHTS PMT and VMT into Short WB and Long WB based on Polk Data.

|  | Allocate NHTS PMT and VMT to Short WB and Long WB |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle <br> Type | PMT*\% Short | PMT*\% Long | VMT*\% Short | VMT*\% Long |
| Car | $1,813,642,927,698.77$ | $14,970,517,254.32$ | $1,173,314,152,515.48$ | $9,684,993,389.11$ |
| OTH | $7,768,881,023.59$ | $39,158,992,942.02$ | $6,380,595,264.08$ | $32,161,347,838.07$ |
| PCP | $82,272,599,246.66$ | $429,502,453,964.94$ | $55,369,886,226.57$ | $289,057,380,316.54$ |
| SUV | $807,186,282,112.63$ | $79,355,114,072.90$ | $425,395,471,972.24$ | $41,820,961,223.60$ |
| Van | $360,936,374,716.21$ | $111,184,116,161.41$ | $153,280,958,061.54$ | $47,217,207,907.75$ |

## Step 4: Calculate Occupancy Factors for both SWB and LWB VM-1 Vehicle Groups

 (Using 2009 data as an example to illustrate the process)Sum all PMT and VMT for Short WB in Table 3 respectively. Occupancy factors for Short WB is 1.6936.

Sum all PMT and VMT for Long WB respectively. Occupancy for Long WB is 1.6054. Table 4 summarizes of final occupancy factors used to update 2009-2016 VM-1.

Table 4. Final Vehicle Occupancy Factor to Update VM-1.

| Final Vehicle Occupancy Factor to Update VM-1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Year | Light-duty Short <br> WB | Light-duty Long <br> WB | Motorcycle (directly from NHTS 2009 by PMT/VMT) |
| 2009 | 1.69363043363849 | 1.60539162528379 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2010 | 1.69320244137584 | 1.60821716025690 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2011 | 1.69302398147565 | 1.60943714233317 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2012 | 1.69206481503303 | 1.61446758967126 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2013 | 1.69093911313107 | 1.62019820493870 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2014 | 1.69009653620133 | 1.62436146200579 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2015 | 1.68931488184394 | 1.62818660049146 | 1.160418590841 |
| 2016 | 1.68804406157679 | 1.63420751661132 | 1.160418590841 |

## 2017 VM-1 Light-duty Short WB, Light-duty Long WB, Motorcycle Occupancy Factors

Table 5. 2017 Baseline Occupancy Factors Calculated from the 2017 NHTS.

| Veh_Type | PMT | VMT | VOF (Vehicle Occupancy <br> Factor) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Car | $1,695,490,736,997$ | $1,103,124,117,266$ | 1.53699 |
| OTH | $33,208,049,522$ | $15,589,389,355$ | 2.13017 |
| PCP | $438,002,024,459$ | $293,659,547,216$ | 1.49153 |
| SUV | $990,874,499,471$ | $540,469,138,342$ | 1.83336 |
| Van | $350,189,051,335$ | $143,666,713,710$ | 2.43751 |
| zMC |  |  | 1.20449 |

Table 6. 2017A Percentage of Short WB and Long WB Vehicles from Polk Data (2009).

|  | Polk Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle Type | Short WB | Long WB | Total | $\%$ Short | $\%$ Long | Total |
| Car | $113,934,361$ | 961,863 | $114,896,224$ | $99.16 \%$ | $0.84 \%$ | 1 |
| OTH | 48,785 | 343,046 | 391,831 | $12.45 \%$ | $87.55 \%$ | 1 |
| PCP | $4,724,871$ | $45,891,713$ | $50,616,584$ | $9.33 \%$ | $90.67 \%$ | 1 |
| SUV | $70,980,796$ | $4,998,840$ | $75,979,636$ | $93.42 \%$ | $6.58 \%$ | 1 |
| Van | $10,667,358$ | $6,648,424$ | $17,315,782$ | $61.60 \%$ | $38.40 \%$ | 1 |

Table 7. 2017B Split NHTS PMT and VMT into Short WB and Long WB based on Polk Data.

|  | Allocate NHTS PMT and VMT to Short WB and Long WB |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vehicle <br> Type | PMT*\% Short | PMT*\% Long | VMT*\% Short | VMT*\% Long |
| Car | $1,681,296,799,633$ | $14,193,937,364$ | $1,093,889,224,805$ | $9,234,892,461$ |
| OTH | $4,134,575,100$ | $29,073,474,422$ | $1,940,960,158$ | $13,648,429,197$ |


| PCP | $40,885,869,803$ | $397,116,154,656$ | $27,412,033,149$ | $266,247,514,067$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SUV | $925,683,043,658$ | $65,191,455,812$ | $504,910,679,658$ | $35,558,458,684$ |
| Van | $215,733,368,454$ | $134,455,682,881$ | $88,505,634,214$ | $55,161,079,496$ |

Table 8. 2017c Split NHTS PMT and VMT into Short WB and Long WB based on Polk Data.

| Vehicle Type | $\sum$ (PMT) | $\sum$ (VMT) | $\sum$ (PMT)/ $\sum$ (VMT) (Occupancy Factor) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Short Wheelbase | $3,661,412,954,110$ | $2,191,764,138,284$ | 1.6705 |
| Long Wheelbase | $1,108,622,877,627$ | $657,954,081,275$ | 1.6850 |
| MC (directly from 2017 NHTS) |  |  |  |

## Vehicle Occupancy Factors Used in VM-1

The above computed 1.6705, 1.6850, and 1.2944 values are used to convert the 2017 VMT to 2017 PMT (personal miles traveled) for the Short Wheelbase, Long Wheelbase, and Motorcycle vehicle groups.

## Note:

The assumption is that VMTs generated by a given vehicle type (Car, Van, SUV, Pickup, Other (other truck and RV) for its two subcategories - long wheeled based and short wheelbase vehicles are the same. For example, a long wheelbase car and a short wheelbase car would travel the same distance because both are under the vehicle type "car."

## Component 4

Fuel Efficiency Modeling - Vehicle Stock Model and Reconciliation Model for Fuel Economy (MPG)

## Background

Vehicle Stock Models utilize historical data to establish fuel economy of different vehicle categories. The Reconciliation Model utilizes optimization techniques to further enhance the stock models and ensures that fuel consumptions match VMT, total fuel consumed, and continuity from previous years in VM-1 table. The sensitivity analysis shows that the sensitivities of the model are within reasonable ranges and solutions are stable.

The vehicle stock models (Sheets: "Light-duty Vehicle - Short Wheelbase", "Lightduty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase", "Motorcycle", "Bus", and "Truck") are used to estimate preliminary fuel consumption and fuel efficiency by vehicle type. Vehicle stock models use various data sources of different agencies and organizations to estimate the fleet fuel efficiency. Organizations and agencies publish their data once every 1 to 5 years. Here is a summary of updating procedures of vehicle stock models.

Light-duty Vehicle - Short Wheelbase and Light-duty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase share the same data source. EPA annually publishes MPG data by model year for cars and light trucks in Light-duty Automotive Technology, Carbon Dioxide Emissions, and Fuel Economy Trends: 1975-20091. The vehicle population data is from Polk's National Vehicle Population Profile, and this data is available annually. The VMT data is from the NHTS (National Household Travel Survey) program ${ }^{2}$,

[^0]and it is only available for 2001 and 2009. The VMT data for years other than 2001 and 2009 is estimated using linear interpolation of 2001 and 2009. This method will be used for future updating when the NHTS is not available.

The stock model for buses is divided into three categories: transit bus, school bus, and motor coach. The data for transit buses is obtained from the American Public Transit Association's Transit Fact Book, Appendix A3. Specifically, the sources are as follows: VMT - from Table 6, population - from Table 17, Fuel Type \% - from Table 26, Fuel consumed - from Table 32. VMT and population data for school buses is available from the School Bus Fleet website ${ }^{4}$ for 1999, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, and 2007. Missing VMT and population data is estimated using linear interpolation. MPG data for school buses is from the DOE report Economic Analysis of Alternative Fuel School Buses. This report gives MPG by type of school bus: type A, type C, type D. The School Bus Fleet website also gives data on the total number of school buses by type. This population data is used to find a weighted average of MPG for all school buses using the MPG data from the DOE report. Motor coach data is from the Motorcoach Census ${ }^{5}$ published in 2009, 2008, 2006, and 2005. For years, during which the Motorcoach Census is not published, the VMT, population, and fuel consumption data is estimated using linear interpolation of available years. All calculation methods are in excel files, and further explanation of the data estimating procedure is given in these excel files.

Motorcycles are divided into 5 categories based on the engine size. These engine size categories are defined as: $0-124 \mathrm{cc}, 125-349 \mathrm{cc}, 350-449 \mathrm{cc}, 450-749 \mathrm{cc}$, and 750 cc or greater. The MPG data comes from the Total Motorcycle Fuel Economy Guide. VMT and population are from the NHTS. The motorcycle data from the NHTS should be handled the same as the Light-duty Vehicle - Short Wheelbase and Lightduty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase data from the NHTS.

All heavy truck data is from VIUS (Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey) ${ }^{67}$. The file includes both 2002 and 2007 data. The missing data is estimated using linear interpolation of these two years. For data by fuel type, fuel type 01 is gasoline and fuel types 02-15 are included in special fuels.

Some of data sources require a fee or membership to download. Updating the stock model requires approximately 40 FTE (full time equivalent). All models can be updated every year if new data is available.

## The Reconciliation Model

[^1]The VMT and MPG reconciliation model (Sheet "VM-1") uses the results of the Vehicle Stock Model and data from Table VM-1 of the previous year, and VMT data from HPMS for the current year to provide fuel efficiency estimates for the current year. The VMT and MPG reconciliation model is implemented using the Excel Solver. The output is fuel efficiency estimates for the current year. The fuel consumed is calculated using VMT data of VM-1 for the current year and the fuel efficiency estimates (MPG, output of this model) for the current year.

The Excel Solver is set up to minimize the deviations of fuel efficiency from the previous year's estimates (published in Table VM-1) and from the results of the vehicle stock model. The model is subject to the constraint that fuel consumption estimates must sum to the current year's fuel consumption. The model comes with current year as 2008 and previous year 2007.

The input parameters include results of vehicle stock model (green cells), light green represents output from stock model, and is considered as recommended value. The total fuel consumed from table MF-21 (orange cells) is also an important parameter. The reconciliation model (MPG estimates) is highly sensitive to stock model results. Therefore, it is important to have a set of well-estimated fuel efficiency data from stock model for each vehicle category. Another set of important parameters are MPG from the previous year from MV-1 table.

Other data in VM-1 table may not have effects on MPG, however, they affect the total fuel consumed. These data are total VMT for each vehicle category.

The optima solver is programmed into two buttons: solve and reset.
The solve button will start optima procedure, a message pops up to show if a solution has been found. It is possible that a solution cannot be achieved after thousands of iterations. This indicates that the model is not set up properly. The reset button turns the numbers back to its original values.

Sample of Stock Model for 2008

## Vehicle Stock Model

Light-duty Vehicle - Short Wheelbase

| Gasoline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model year | Pop_yf | VMT_yf total (miles) | VMT_y per vehicle (miles) | MPG_yf | Fuel consumption_y ftotal (gallons) |
| 2008/2009 | 8,771,846 | 100,861,110,872 | 11,498 | 21.8 | 4,634,581,102 |
| 2007 | 11,148,222 | 143,287,030,261 | 12,853 | 24.0 | 5,958,919,031 |
| 2006 | 11,206,791 | 146,351,496,759 | 13,059 | 23.2 | 6,299,539,012 |
| 2005 | 11,460,983 | 136,591,662,040 | 11,918 | 23.3 | 5,863,562,291 |
| 2004 | 10,829,564 | 120,437,203,952 | 11,121 | 22.7 | 5,296,929,122 |
| 2003 | 10,642,021 | 117,111,550,267 | 11,005 | 22.8 | 5,135,299,290 |


| 2002 | $10,958,497$ | $117,957,872,865$ | 10,764 | 22.6 | $5,227,127,200$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | $10,450,060$ | $109,938,511,016$ | 10,520 | 23.0 | $4,770,475,801$ |
| 2000 | $11,337,902$ | $109,536,437,535$ | 9,661 | 22.7 | $4,816,660,305$ |
| 1999 | $10,202,527$ | $94,423,734,106$ | 9,255 | 22.5 | $4,191,970,766$ |
| 1998 | $9,429,798$ | $84,397,020,771$ | 8,950 | 22.9 | $3,679,269,375$ |
| 1997 | $8,929,375$ | $74,913,181,283$ | 8,390 | 23.0 | $3,252,677,671$ |
| 1996 | $7,877,145$ | $67,037,047,046$ | 8,510 | 23.2 | $2,889,667,779$ |
| 1995 | $8,427,365$ | $63,782,928,635$ | 7,569 | 23.1 | $2,762,952,029$ |
| 1994 | $7,117,556$ | $50,626,719,126$ | 7,113 | 22.6 | $2,235,731,649$ |
| 1993 | $6,344,347$ | $45,573,192,604$ | 7,183 | 23.3 | $1,958,798,935$ |
| 1992 | $5,362,405$ | $31,770,922,693$ | 5,925 | 22.8 | $1,395,601,874$ |
| 1991 | $4,845,331$ | $32,265,308,218$ | 6,659 | 23.0 | $1,402,289,920$ |
| 1990 | $4,213,227$ | $26,340,879,765$ | 6,252 | 23.0 | $1,144,716,898$ |
| 1989 | $3,852,916$ | $21,236,197,413$ | 5,512 | 22.8 | $930,897,789$ |
| 1988 | $3,149,104$ | $15,313,679,693$ | 4,863 | 23.0 | $666,085,854$ |
| 1987 | $2,589,966$ | $13,360,375,059$ | 5,159 | 22.4 | $595,175,924$ |
| 1986 | $2,074,771$ | $9,585,970,873$ | 4,620 | 22.6 | $423,345,683$ |
| 1985 | $1,424,693$ | $6,263,573,316$ | 4,396 | 22.1 | $283,552,890$ |
|  | $4,495,767$ | $16,256,082,987$ | 3,616 | 19.4 | $837,266,157$ |

Gasoline
Total VMT $\quad 1,755,219,689,15$
(miles)
4
Total MPG
22.9

Total fuel consumed (gallons)

76,653,094,347

## Passenger Car Avg MPG

Total VMT (miles)
Total fuel consumed (gallons)
23.1

1,874,151,754,974
81,045,200,237

Motorcycle:
Vehicle Stock Model

| under 125 cc |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model year | Pop_ye | VMT_ye <br> total (miles) | VMT_ye per <br> vehicle (miles) | MPG_ye | Fuel consumption_yf <br> total (gallons) |
| 2008 | 7,018 | $15,232,744$ | 2,171 | 96.7 | 157,526 |
| 2007 | 11,670 | $22,478,517$ | 1,926 | 96.7 | 232,456 |
| 2006 | 27,224 | $54,126,100$ | 1,988 | 90.0 | 601,401 |
| 2005 | 11,679 | $22,757,072$ | 1,949 | 118.0 | 192,857 |
| 2004 | 15,103 | $22,603,839$ | 1,497 | 118.0 | 191,558 |
| 2003 | 4,962 | $7,124,068$ | 1,436 | 118.0 | 60,373 |
| 2002 | 3,383 | $4,685,579$ | 1,385 | 118.0 | 39,708 |


| 2001 | 4,633 | $35,822,283$ | 7,732 | 118.0 | 303,579 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 | 6,065 | $7,599,873$ | 1,253 | 118.0 | 64,406 |
| 1999 | 6,363 | $12,303,852$ | 1,934 | 118.0 | 104,270 |
| 1998 and older | 91,445 | $209,884,285$ | 2,295 | 118.0 | $1,778,680$ |

Total VMT
(miles) 414,618,213
Total MPG
Total fuel consumed
(gallons) 3,726,814

Bus
Vehicle Stock Model

| Gasoline | Pop_f | VMT_f | Fuel consumption_f | MPG_f |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Bus Type | 18,748 | $186,186,656$ | -- | 6.36 |
| School | 333 | $11,882,500$ | $3,800,000$ | 3.13 |
| Transit | 0 | -- | -- | -- |
| Motorcoach |  |  |  |  |

Gasoline
Total VMT 198,069,156
Total MPG
6.4

Total fuel consumed 31,162,927

Bus Avg MPG
Total VMT (miles)
Total fuel consumed (gallons)
7.228834388

8,161,851,889
1,129,068,872

Light-duty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase

## Vehicle Stock Model

Light Duty Vehicle - Long Wheelbase

| Gasoline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model year | Pop_yf |  | VMT_y <br> per <br> vehicle |  |  |  |  | MPG_yf | Fuel consumption_yf <br> total (gallons) |
| $2009 / 2010$ | 490,823 | $8,302,028,293$ | 16,915 | 16.4 | $505,327,709$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | $1,511,046$ | $26,278,102,301$ | 17,391 | 15.7 | $1,672,092,713$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | $1,761,843$ | $25,542,058,580$ | 14,497 | 17.2 | $1,484,628,535$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | $2,016,145$ | $26,364,317,770$ | 13,077 | 17.1 | $1,544,572,231$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | $2,237,680$ | $29,096,652,482$ | 13,003 | 16.4 | $1,779,342,429$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | $2,538,051$ | $30,195,311,434$ | 11,897 | 16.4 | $1,844,410,599$ |  |  |  |  |


| 2003 | $2,393,927$ | $29,936,950,005$ | 12,505 | 16.5 | $1,814,131,970$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2002 | $2,182,686$ | $22,721,579,335$ | 10,410 | 16.6 | $1,370,538,837$ |
| 2001 | $2,350,926$ | $23,971,717,596$ | 10,197 | 16.9 | $1,422,135,543$ |
| 2000 | $2,089,451$ | $21,203,154,187$ | 10,148 | 17.1 | $1,241,054,159$ |
| 1999 | $1,980,056$ | $17,447,818,511$ | 8,812 | 16.3 | $1,072,052,576$ |
| 1998 | $1,643,877$ | $12,522,781,257$ | 7,618 | 16.8 | $743,199,765$ |
| 1997 | $1,766,682$ | $13,565,469,463$ | 7,679 | 17.0 | $798,195,697$ |
| 1996 | $1,266,492$ | $8,586,436,595$ | 6,780 | 16.9 | $508,184,509$ |
| 1995 | $1,397,928$ | $9,559,176,040$ | 6,838 | 16.6 | $575,274,294$ |
| 1994 | $1,306,538$ | $7,731,254,647$ | 5,917 | 16.7 | $463,079,121$ |
| 1993 | 918,145 | $6,576,242,724$ | 7,163 | 16.6 | $396,209,297$ |
| 1992 | 757,934 | $3,722,535,200$ | 4,911 | 16.5 | $226,081,455$ |
| 1991 | 599,113 | $3,496,336,014$ | 5,836 | 16.8 | $207,824,583$ |
| 1990 | 695,995 | $4,127,957,751$ | 5,931 | 16.5 | $250,774,030$ |
| 1989 | 709,058 | $3,055,978,447$ | 4,310 | 16.3 | $187,776,510$ |
| 1988 | 622,462 | $2,329,741,301$ | 3,743 | 17.1 | $136,406,666$ |
| 1987 | 372,340 | $1,222,623,990$ | 3,284 | 16.5 | $74,185,195$ |
| 1986 | 454,184 | $1,555,326,044$ | 3,424 | 16.7 | $93,405,649$ |
| $2,479,40,149$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Gasoline
Total VMT 344,940,985,907
Total MPG
16.6

Total fuel
consumed
20,790,294,221

Light Truck Avg MPG
Total VMT (miles)
Total fuel consumed (gallons)
17.2

433,434,710,727
25,246,547,879

| Vehicle Stock Model |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heavy Truck |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single-unit 2-axle 6-tire or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gasoline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model year | Pop_yf | VMT_y pe | VMT_yf total ( | MPG_yf | Fuel Consume |
| 2007/2008 | 2,764 | 36,928 | 102,085,200 | 6.3 | 16,286,520 |
| 2006 | 6,177 | 40,487 | 250,080,230 | 6.4 | 39,306,469 |
| 2005 | 8,385 | 37,195 | 311,871,413 | 6.3 | 49,340,173 |
| 2004 | 9,560 | 36,025 | 344,405,971 | 6.5 | 52,919,404 |
| 2003 | 4,498 | 33,464 | 150,536,243 | 6.1 | 24,514,714 |
| 2002 | 6,841 | 23,318 | 159,517,266 | 6.1 | 25,947,254 |
| 2001 | 4,661 | 22,026 | 102,664,600 | 5.8 | 17,854,110 |
| 2000 | 5,797 | 19,970 | 115,754,544 | 6.4 | 18,046,486 |
| 1999 | 6,503 | 15,287 | 99,410,777 | 6.5 | 15,212,964 |
| 1998 | 2,731 | 15,522 | 42,397,690 | 6.5 | 6,538,403 |
| 1997 | 1,432 | 12,809 | 18,343,310 | 6.7 | 2,755,583 |
| 1996 | 1,607 | 13,864 | 22,276,582 | 6.2 | 3,583,907 |
| 1995 | 1,152 | 12,811 | 14,763,692 | 6.3 | 2,334,666 |
| 1994 | 2,089 | 10,850 | 22,662,040 | 5.9 | 3,865,289 |
| 1993 | 1,309 | 10,551 | 13,812,309 | 5.6 | 2,452,773 |
| 1992 | 1,678 | 9,549 | 16,025,371 | 5.6 | 2,859,634 |
| 1991 and older | 126,140 | 4,748 | 598,907,187 | 5.8 | 103,819,094 |

## Gasoline

| Total VMT | $2,385,514,425$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total MPG | 6.15 |
| Total fuel consumed | $387,637,442$ |

## Single-unit truck Avg MPG 7.369322

| Combination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model year | Pop_yf | VMT_y pe\| | VMT_yf total ( | MPG_yf | Fuel Consume |
| 2007/2008 | 0 | 54,365 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 |
| 2006 | 2 | 57,661 | 124,933 | 4.9 | 25,248 |
| 2005 | 29 | 52,652 | 1,507,563 | 5.0 | 302,473 |
| 2004 | 29 | 42,875 | 1,227,602 | 5.0 | 246,695 |
| 2003 | 0 | 37,609 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 |
| 2002 | 409 | 32,816 | 13,406,138 | 4.9 | 2,722,992 |
| 2001 | 261 | 27,451 | 7,173,932 | 4.9 | 1,468,632 |
| 2000 | 29 | 25,305 | 724,550 | 5.0 | 145,859 |
| 1999 | 235 | 20,699 | 4,865,452 | 5.0 | 982,686 |
| 1998 | 0 | 19,079 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 |
| 1997 | 29 | 16,108 | 461,204 | 4.9 | 94,925 |
| 1996 | 59 | 13,486 | 801,503 | 5.0 | 159,413 |
| 1995 | 29 | 11,046 | 316,279 | 4.9 | 63,978 |
| 1994 | 200 | 11,263 | 2,252,369 | 4.9 | 458,004 |
| 1993 | 888 | 9,735 | 8,641,055 | 4.9 | 1,768,137 |
| 1992 | 109 | 10,396 | 1,135,546 | 4.7 | 239,231 |
| 1991 and older | 8,884 | 6,134 | 54,492,812 | 4.9 | 11,046,741 |


| Gasoline |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total VMT | $97,130,939$ |
| Total MPG | 4.92 |
| Total fuel consumed | $19,725,013$ |

## Heavy Truck

Vehicle Stock Model
Heavy
Truck

| Single-unit 2-axle 6-tire or more |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model year | Pop_yf | VMT_y per truck (miles) | VMT_yf total (miles) | MPG_yf | Fuel Consumed_yf total (gallons) |
| 2007/2008 | 2,764 | 36,928 | 102,085,200 | 6.3 | 16,286,520 |
| 2006 | 6,177 | 40,487 | 250,080,230 | 6.4 | 39,306,469 |
| 2005 | 8,385 | 37,195 | 311,871,413 | 6.3 | 49,340,173 |
| 2004 | 9,560 | 36,025 | 344,405,971 | 6.5 | 52,919,404 |
| 2003 | 4,498 | 33,464 | 150,536,243 | 6.1 | 24,514,714 |
| 2002 | 6,841 | 23,318 | 159,517,266 | 6.1 | 25,947,254 |
| 2001 | 4,661 | 22,026 | 102,664,600 | 5.8 | 17,854,110 |
| 2000 | 5,797 | 19,970 | 115,754,544 | 6.4 | 18,046,486 |
| 1999 | 6,503 | 15,287 | 99,410,777 | 6.5 | 15,212,964 |
| 1998 | 2,731 | 15,522 | 42,397,690 | 6.5 | 6,538,403 |
| 1997 | 1,432 | 12,809 | 18,343,310 | 6.7 | 2,755,583 |
| 1996 | 1,607 | 13,864 | 22,276,582 | 6.2 | 3,583,907 |
| 1995 | 1,152 | 12,811 | 14,763,692 | 6.3 | 2,334,666 |
| 1994 | 2,089 | 10,850 | 22,662,040 | 5.9 | 3,865,289 |
| 1993 | 1,309 | 10,551 | 13,812,309 | 5.6 | 2,452,773 |
| 1992 | 1,678 | 9,549 | 16,025,371 | 5.6 | 2,859,634 |
| 1991 and older | 126,140 | 4,748 | 598,907,187 | 5.8 | 103,819,094 |

Gasoline
Total VMT 2,385,514,425
Total MPG
6.15

Total fuel
consumed 387,637,442

Single-unit truck Avg
MPG
7.369322

| Combination |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Model year | Pop_yf | VMT_y per truck (miles) | VMT_yf <br> total <br> (miles) | MPG_yf | Fuel Consumed_yf total (gallons) |
| 2007/2008 | 0 | 54,365 | 0 | 5.1 | 0 |
| 2006 | 2 | 57,661 | 124,933 | 4.9 | 25,248 |
| 2005 | 29 | 52,652 | 1,507,563 | 5.0 | 302,473 |
| 2004 | 29 | 42,875 | 1,227,602 | 5.0 | 246,695 |


| 2003 | 0 | 37,609 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2002 | 409 | 32,816 | $13,406,138$ | 4.9 | $2,722,992$ |
| 2001 | 261 | 27,451 | $7,173,932$ | 4.9 | $1,468,632$ |
| 2000 | 29 | 25,305 | 724,550 | 5.0 | 145,859 |
| 1999 | 235 | 20,699 | $4,865,452$ | 5.0 | 982,686 |
| 1998 | 0 | 19,079 | 0 | 4.9 | 0 |
| 1997 | 29 | 16,108 | 461,204 | 4.9 | 94,925 |
| 1996 | 59 | 13,486 | 801,503 | 5.0 | 159,413 |
| 1995 | 29 | 11,046 | 316,279 | 4.9 | 63,978 |
| 1994 | 200 | 11,263 | $2,252,369$ | 4.9 | 458,004 |
| 1993 | 888 | 9,735 | $8,641,055$ | 4.9 | $1,768,137$ |
| 1992 | 109 | 10,396 | $1,135,546$ | 4.7 | 239,231 |
| 1991 and |  |  |  |  |  |
| older | 8,884 | 6,134 | $54,492,812$ | 4.9 | $11,046,741$ |

Gasoline
Total VMT 97,130,939
Total MPG 4.92
Total fuel consumed

19,725,013

## Combination truck Avg MPG 5.955711

Reconciliation Model


End of Component 4


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ More information and data can be found at http://www.epa.gov/otaq/fetrends.htm.
    ${ }^{2}$ More information on National Household Transportation Survey can be found at http://nhts.ornl.gov/ or http://www.bts.gov/programs/national household travel survey/ or http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/ohpi/nhts/index.cfm.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ An electronic copy of the annual Transit Fact Book can be found at
    http://www.apta.com/resources/statistics/Pages/transitstats.aspx.
    ${ }^{4}$ More information can be found at http://www.schoolbusfleet.com/.
    ${ }^{5}$ More information can be found at http://www.buses.org/foundationresearch.
    ${ }^{6}$ Electronic copies of the Vehicle Inventory and Use Survey results by survey year can be found at http://www.census.gov/svsd/www/vius/products.html.
    ${ }^{7}$ Estimation of 2007 VIUS Variables, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus Ohio, October 2009.

